

This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Nanoscale domain wall devices with magnetic tunnel junction read and write

Reference:

Raymenants E., Bultynck O., Wan D., Devolder T., Garello K., Souriau L., Thiam A., Tsvetanova D., Canvel Y., Nikonov D.E.,- Nanoscale domain wall devices with magnetic tunnel junction read and write Nature electronics - ISSN 2520-1131 - 4:6(2021), p. 392-398 Full text (Publisher's DOI): https://doi.org/10.1038/S41928-021-00593-X To cite this reference: https://hdl.handle.net/10067/1796730151162165141

uantwerpen.be

Institutional repository IRUA

Nanoscale domain wall devices with magnetic

² tunnel junction read and write

- 3 E. Raymenants^{1,2,a,*}, O. Bultynck^{1,2,a}, D. Wan¹, T. Devolder³, K. Garello¹, L. Souriau¹, A. Thiam¹, D.
- 4 Tsvetanova¹, Y. Canvel¹, D. E. Nikonov⁴, I. A. Young⁴, M. Heyns^{1,2}, B. Soree^{1,2}, I. Asselberghs¹, I. Radu¹, S.
- 5 Couet^I,

V.D.

Nguyen^{I,*}

¹ IMEC, Kapeldreef 75, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium

² KU Leuven, Kasteelpark Arenberg 44 - box 2450, B-3001 Leuven

³ Universite Paris-Saclay, CNRS, Centre de Nanosciences et de Nanotechnologies, 91120, Palaiseau, France

⁴ Components Research, Intel Corporation, Hillsboro, OR 97124 USA

^a These authors contributed equally

^{*} Corresponding authors: eline.raymenants@imec.be, van.dai.nguyen@imec.be

7 Fast domain wall (DW) motion in magnetic nanostructures is crucial for future spintronic device 8 concepts. However, a lack of energy-efficient, scalable and industry-relevant ways to electrically 9 read and write DWs in nanoscale devices impedes practical applications. Here, we demonstrate 10 that full electrical control of DW devices can be achieved by incorporating typical high DW velocity Ш materials (i.e., Pt/Co and synthetic antiferromagnetic (SAF) Pt/Co/Ru/Co) into perpendicular 12 magnetic tunnel junctions (pMTJs). We first show tunneling magnetoresistance (TMR) readout and efficient spin transfer torque (STT) writing, comparable to current STT-MRAM technology. Based 13 14 on time-resolved measurements, we find faster STT switching dynamics in the SAF-based hybrid 15 free layer than in conventional dual-MgO free layer while maintaining retention stability. We demonstrate the full operation of DW devices at nanoscale with MTJs enabling electrical read and 16 17 write, and a heavy metal enabling spin orbit torque (SOT)-driven DW motion for information 18 transmission. Beside implications to technology and applications, we show that these devices can 19 be used as a tool to explore the physics of DW dynamics at nanoscale. Furthermore, using a SAFbased DW conduit has the potential to enhance device performance with faster and more reliable 20 21 DW motion. This proof-of-concept offers a pathway to the technological development of high-22 performance and low-power DW-based devices.

23 Magnetic domain walls (DW) could form a key ingredient in next generation logic and data storage devices [1, 2] such as racetrack memory [3, 4], spin logic [5, 6, 7, 8] and neuromorphic computing [9, 10, 11]. 24 25 The discovery of high DW velocity governed by the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction (DMI) and spin-orbit torque (SOT) [12,13,14,15] in ultrathin magnetic layers on heavy metals, the additional exchange coupling torque 26 27 (ECT) in synthetic antiferromagnetic (SAF) systems [16] and the angular momentum compensation in 28 ferrimagnets [17], were major breakthroughs in the development of fast, high density, and low-energy devices 29 based on DW motion. To enable these materials for practical application, DWs are required to be efficiently 30 written and read at the nanoscale. However, to date, writing of DWs has mainly been performed through magnetic field-based approaches, and read out through either Hall bar devices or magnetic imaging techniques [5, 31 32 8, 9, 12, 13, 17, 14]. These schemes play a crucial role in characterizing material properties, but their applicability

to DW devices at nanoscale is limited. Therefore, finding energy-efficient ways to electrically write and read DWs
is a necessity for practical applications.

In this context, the magnetic tunnel junction (MTJ) can be considered as an excellent candidate. Indeed, current spin-transfer-torque magnetic random-access memory (STT-MRAM) technology has demonstrated that MTJs composed of a CoFeB/MgO-based free layer (FL) [18] offer uniquely high TMR readout and low STT writing current. However, the CoFeB/MgO approach faces significant challenges that relate to low DW speed [19] and poor manufacturability [20,21] for a DW conduit (Suppl. Mater. 2.2.).

40 In this study, we present functional DW devices with MTJs enabling electrical read and write using the 41 hybrid free layer (HFL) design concept [22], allowing us to incorporate two well-known high DW velocity 42 materials, i.e., Pt/Co (PtCo-HFL) [12,15], and Pt/Co/Ru/Co where the thickness of Ru is varied to form a SAF- or 43 synthetic ferromagnet (SFM)-based FL, SAF-HFL and SFM-HFL respectively [16, 23]. The HFL design offers a 44 practical solution for the integration process and etch challenges of DW devices in industrial platforms (Suppl. Mater. 2.2.2) [21]. We validate that single MTIs with a HFL stack offer reliable TMR readout signal and efficient 45 46 STT writing and could therefore be applied as inputs and outputs of a DW device. By time-resolved conductance measurements in single MTIs, we find that the Pt/Co/Ru/Co-based free layer with antiferromagnetic coupling 47 48 (SAF-HFL) provides faster STT-driven switching dynamics compared to a dual-MgO reference stack. In fully 49 integrated DW devices, we show that DWs can be locally nucleated by STT at input-MTIs and read out by TMR 50 at output-MTIs while the DWs are directionally transported by SOT between input and output. Therefore, we 51 demonstrate full control of a DW device, which can then be used to access both field- and SOT-driven DW 52 dynamics at the nanoscale. Finally, we show that the HFL device proposal offers the possibility to improve DW 53 device performance by selecting a SAF-based DW conduit.

54

55 Hybrid free layer MTJ stack design for DW-based devices

In the HFL concept, the first FL (FL1) consists of the conventional CoFeB/MgO-based FL, Fig. 1 **a**. The second FL (FL2), deposited onto a heavy metal (HM), serves as the DW conduit which typically consists of a ferromagnet [12], or a SAF-system [16]. FL2 offers high DW speed originating from interfacial DMI, SOT [12, 13, 14, 15] and/or ECT [16]. Note that the spacer material between FL1 and FL2 plays an important role in the stack design. Firstly, it serves to decouple the crystallization of CoFeB (FL1) and FL2 as the fcc (111) crystal structure of Co is incompatible with the bcc (001) crystal structure of CoFeB. Secondly, it enables ferromagnetic



Fig. I | **Schematic of hybrid free layer concept.** (a) Hybrid free layer (HFL) concept consisting of FL1(topleft), based on CoFeB/MgO for efficient STT write and high TMR read, and FL2 (bottom-left), consisting of a material with inherent perpendicular magnetic anisotropy (PMA) which is optimized for high DW velocity driven by SOT. The two free layers are ferromagnetically coupled through a spacer layer (right). (b-c) Schematic of DWbased devices with PtCo-HFL and SAF-HFL design, respectively. FL2 consists of a Co layer on top of Pt (PtCo-HFL) or of a Co/Ru/Co structure with SFM or SAF coupling, on top of Pt (SFM-HFL or SAF-HFL).

62 coupling between FL1 and FL2 by interlayer exchange coupling, so they behave as a single FL. Additionally, direct 63 growth of an MgO tunneling barrier with bcc (001) crystal structure on Co (FL2) is not trivial due to incompatible 64 crystal structure and poor electron band matching [22]. Therefore, incorporation of the CoFeB layer (FL1) in 65 contact with the MgO barrier is indispensable for retaining high TMR [22, 24] and high STT switching efficiency. 66 In principle, this approach opens the possibility of integrating any high DW speed material in FL2, including 67 ferrimagnets [25], for specific applications such as DW or skyrmion tracks [26,27].

Fig. I **b-c** illustrate the device concept with Pt/Co and Pt/Co/Ru/Co as DW conduits and MTJs as write and read components. In this proposal, the DW device has three main components in which each one owns its specific functionality: DW writing (*input*), DW transport (*conduit*) and DW reading (*output*). The operation principle is as follows: STT is locally applied at the input pillar to inject DWs into the DW conduit (*i. e., DW*

72	writing process) via the strong ferromagnetic coupling between CoFeB and FL2 (Extended Data Fig. 1 a).
73	Subsequently, the DW travels along the track driven by magnetic field or SOT (Extended Data Fig. 1 b) (i.e., DW
74	transport process). The detection of the DW at the output is enabled by TMR (i.e., DW reading process). As a
75	result, this DW device concept simultaneously benefits from efficient STT switching, good TMR owing to the
76	CoFeB/MgO interface, and high DW velocity, resulting from a combination of SOT, DMI and/or ECT in the DW
77	conduit materials on heavy metals.
78	

79 Optimized TMR reading and fast STT writing in single MTJ pillar



Fig. 2 | **Hysteresis loops showing reliable TMR and STT in HFL-MTJs.** (a) Illustration of standard Dual-MgO, PtCo-HFL and SAF-HFL stack, color code similar as in Fig. 1 a, (b) typical TMR loops as function of perpendicular magnetic field in MTJs with nominal diameter of 60 nm, (c) STT induced magnetization reversal at 50 ns pulse width, (d) switching probability distribution measurement averaged over 1000 events per point.

80 To verify the applicability of the HFL concept, we studied the magnetic properties of single MTJs made 81 with different types of HFL (Fig. 2 a), fabricated on 300 mm wafers using standard processes of MRAM 82 technology. Fig. 2 b-c display typical square TMR hysteresis loops of an MTJ pillar as function of field and current 83 pulse, respectively. Importantly, we obtain similar TMR values, about 80 %, and STT switching currents, about 200 84 µA, in all types of FL. This result suggests that the obtained TMR and STT efficiency are mainly contributed by the 85 CoFeB/MgO/CoFeB interfaces as expected in a conventional STT-MRAM device [18, 28]. Note that the lower coercivity in the PtCo- HFL device is linked to our choice of relatively low anisotropy in the stack design (Suppl. 86 87 Mater. 3.2.1). The switching probability dependence of write current amplitude (Fig. 2 d) verifies the deterministic STT switching in all devices (Suppl. Mater. 4.3). A slightly lower write current (~ 170µA) was found in dual-MgO 88 89 devices, which may be related to the lower damping constant in CoFeB [29] and dual-MgO being an already 90 optimized stack. It is worth to note that the demonstration of good TMR read and reliable STT write in SAFbased FL design opens the path for improving DW transport as SAF systems are expected to be less sensitive to 91 92 external perturbation and to show fast switching dynamics [23].

93 To evidence this statement, we compare magnetization switching dynamics of the SAF-HFL to a 94 standard dual-MgO based FL using timed-resolved STT-driven switching measurements. The typical time-resolved 95 single shot curves at room temperature, as displayed in Fig. 3 a, allow the extraction of three different timescales 96 for every reversal event: the initial incubation delay t_{inc} due to thermal effects, the transition time between stable 97 states t_{trans} , and the pinning time in a pinned state t_{nin} . For a 100 nm device size, it has been shown that the 98 switching process is dominated by a DW-mediated reversal [30]. Note that pinning only occurs in the dual-MgO 99 devices, as reported in Fig. 3 b. The varying nature of the pinning level and characteristic pinning timescale 100 indicate that the origin is most likely due to the inhomogeneities of the device microstructure. More specifically, 101 defects in the interfacial MgO/CoFeB anisotropy have been reported to induce pinning in the STT switching 102 process [31].

103 The incubation delays were analyzed under the assumption of a thermally activated process [32] 104 $PDF(t_{inc}) = \exp(-t_{inc}/\tau)/\tau$ where PDF is the probability density function. In the thermal limit for small drive 105 currents, where the incubation delay is dominant, we expect the well-known Arrhenius dependence $\tau =$



Fig. 3 | **Fast STT switching in SAF-HFL without degradation of retention time.** (a) Typical single-shot curves of the MTJ reversal process lined up to the onset of switching (time 0), defining an incubation delay, transition time, and pinning time. (b) Extracted characteristic pinning times, only present in the dual-MgO samples. (c) The thermally activated incubation delays as function of current density, demonstrating similar behavior in the two FL designs. (d) Transition times vary as function of current density demonstrating consistently faster reversal in the devices with the proposed SAF-HFL. Error bars in all graphs define the 68% confidence interval.

106 $\tau_0 \exp(\Delta [1 - J/J_c])$. However, the absence of analytical expressions for τ_0 in the DW reversal regime will 107 prevent further parameter extraction. As displayed in Fig. 3 c, the similar incubation delay as function of applied 108 current indicates similar energy barriers in both stack types (Suppl. Mater. 4.4). It is supposed that in all cases the 109 switching process initiates in the top CoFeB layer (FLI) which is in contact with the STT source from the spin 110 polarization layer. Subsequently, the switching of the whole FL then follows due to the strong coupling with the CoFeB layer. Interestingly, we found that the transition times in the SAF-based devices reduced by a factor of two 112 compared to their dual-MgO counterpart as seen in Fig. 3 d. This result suggests that the presence of DMI and 113 antiferromagnetic ECT [16] in our SAF-HFL devices indeed stabilize the DW configuration and lead to shorter 114 transition times.

115

Full electrical operation of DW devices with MTJ write and read

117 We now demonstrate that a nanoscale DW device with full control can be achieved using the HFL design. In 118 this study, DW devices with MTIs as electrical read and write components have been fabricated consisting of a 119 180 nm wide track shared by three MTJ pillars with a nominal diameter of 80 nm. The pillars are separated by 500 120 nm. We use a Co/Ru/Co FL2 as DW conduit with a 13 Å thick Ru-spacer which allows the formation of a 121 synthetic ferromagnet, SFM-HFL. In such SFM arrangement, the thicker Ru layer ensures PMA of the bottom Co 122 layer even when the interfacial PMA of CFB/MgO is damaged by the etching process. Importantly, the magnetic 123 state of the DW conduit underneath and in between pillars is very similar even if the PMA of the top Co layer has 124 collapsed by the etching process. Therefore, devices with SFM stack design can be used as demonstrators of SOT-125 driven DW motion where the bottom Co layer is in contact with the SOT source of Pt.

TMR versus field loops of three individual pillars connected by a common FL show the coercivity (B_c) overlapping and a significant reduction of switching field (propagation field B_p) when STT was initially applied in one of the pillars (Extended Data Fig. 2). It indicates that the PMA of the DW conduit is fully preserved after device integration [18]. This experiment demonstrates that three basic requirements for a functional DW device are guaranteed, *i.e.*, PMA of the DW conduit is preserved, DWs can be locally written in the track by STT and read out by TMR. In addition, a large difference between B_c (~ 61 mT) and B_p (~ 33 mT) provides a wide operating window for field-driven DW transport without approaching the nucleation-dominated switching regime. 133 We now prove, Fig. 4, that the full operation of read/write using MTIs and both field and/or SOT-driven DW 134 motion can be achieved in a single DW device. Experimentally, the measurement sequence of a DW device with 135 the track shared by three MTJ pillars is summarized as follows. We firstly saturate the device in the parallel (P) 136 state by a negative external field larger than the coercivity. Consecutively, STT is used to selectively write an 137 antiparallel (AP) domain in the center pillar P2, as seen in Fig. 4 d-e-f by an abrupt change of magnetization from P 138 to AP in P2 (blue graph). Finally, the motion of DWs through the track induced by field and/or SOT is detected by 139 TMR in the neighboring pillars. Fig. 4 a, d shows an example of field-driven DW transport in which the device is 140 operated at a constant field of 27 mT (<< $B_c \sim 61mT$). In this case, the nucleated domain expands from the 141 center pillar to both outer pillars driven by field and assisted by thermal activation. The result of DW motion 142 along the track is observed by TMR read as a change of magnetic state from P to AP in both PI and P3, 143 demonstrating the isotropic nature of field-driven transport.

We now demonstrate that our DW devices can be operated by applying an in-plane SOT current along the track as shown in Fig. 4 **b**, **c**, **e** and **f**. In contrast to field-driven DW transport, the direction of DW motion is selectively manipulated by the current polarity. As seen in Fig. 4 **b**, **e**, we observe a change of TMR in P3 indicating DW motion from P2 to P3 with a positive current. Similarly, Fig. 4 **c**, **f** shows DW motion from P2 to P1 with negative current. Note that we never observe DW motion against the direction of current flow as no switching occurs at P3 (P1) with negative (positive) current (*c.f.*, Fig. 4 **e**, **f**). To verify the device operation reliability, we show in Extended Data Fig. 3 that the experiments are reproducible ~ 100 times.

151 The observation of DW motion along the current flow provides clear evidence that SOT originating from Pt 152 is the main driving force for DW motion in our devices as expected in stand-alone samples [10-13]. In this 153 experiment, the exact shape of the nucleated domain is not obvious since TMR readout is locally sensitive to a 154 change of magnetization state underneath the pillars. However, we believe that a magnetic bubble was fully nucleated under the MTJ as a full switching of MTJ resistance from P to AP is always present after STT write. 155 156 Also, we do not observe any change of the resistance in the neighbouring pillars during STT write meaning that a 157 domain is locally injected underneath the MTJ. In other words, two DWs are expected to form on each side of 158 the MT pillar. It is worth to mention that we do not observe two changes of resistance (i.e., drop of resistance in 159 the input pillar and rise in resistance in output pillar) as would be expected from SOT-driven DW motion (Suppl.

Mater. 6.4). It might be due to the fact that the externally applied field favors bidirectional expansion while the SOT-driven DW motion favors unidirectional motion (c.f., Fig. Suppl. 6.4). We believe that fine-tuning of the device integration process will reduce the potential DW pinning sites of the track at nanoscale such that the device can be fully controlled by SOT without external field, as we obtained in the case of stand-alone FL samples (Fig. Suppl. 6.3).

Our devices also allow to control DW motion from any pillar in the track. We show in a slightly larger device (track width 200 nm, pillar diameter 100 nm and interpillar spacing 500 nm) that SOT-driven DW motion can be achieved from any pillar where the DW is initially written by STT (Extended Data Fig. 4). This demonstration provides an important feature for practical application of spintronic devices, for instance the operation of spin logic devices which require DWs to be independently controlled from different inputs [6, 8].



driven DW transport. (**a**-**c**) Schematics explaining DW transport as observed in (**d**-**f**) respectively. (**a**,**d**) Fielddriven domain expansion from P2 to both P1 and P3. (**b**, **e**) SOT-driven DW motion from P2 to P3 with positive current flow in the heavy metal and (**c**, **f**) SOT-driven transport from P2 to P1 with negative current. (**g**) Natural logarithm of the depinning time as function of external magnetic field. (**h**) Cumulative density functions (plotted as I-CDF on logarithmic scale) of depinning time at a fixed field of 24.9 mT and varying current density obtained from 100 measurements at each current density and polarity. The data is fitted by an exponential law, resulting in the average depinning times as plotted in (**i**) as function of current.

In addition, we prove that such devices offer the possibility to explore DW dynamics in a full operation mode where imaging techniques become ineffective in fully integrated devices at low dimension. For this study, we repeat a similar experiment as seen in Fig. 4 **a** and measure the waiting time for the DW to overcome the energy barrier to travel from P2 (*i.e.*, where DW is written by STT) to P3 (*i.e.*, where DW is detected by TMR read) with the assistance of external field and thermal activation. We choose four different external field values to tune the height of the energy barrier and repeat the measurement 100 times to characterize the statistics of the depinning time at each field value (Fig. Suppl. 6.2.1). The cumulative distribution function (CDF) of depinning time for each field value is well described by a single exponential law, $CDF(t) = 1 - exp(-t/\tau)$ with the characteristic depinning time τ , as theoretically predicted for DW depinning processes [33, 34]. Fig. 4 g shows the obtained τ (on In-scale) as a function of magnetic field, fitted by an Arrhenius-law-based exponential equation $\tau =$ $\tau_0 \exp\left(\frac{E}{k_BT}(1-B/B_{C0})\right)$, where B_{C0} is the intrinsic critical field in the absence of thermal activation [33]. We obtain a thermal stability factor $\frac{E}{k_BT}$ of 38.8 ± 1 .

182 Finally, we use such devices as a tool to study the impact of SOT on the DW dynamics in full operation, 183 where the DW is electrically read and written using MTIs. We carry out similar field induced DW depinning 184 measurements and now add SOT on top of a fixed external field of 24.9 mT. Fig. 4 h displays the CDF of 185 depinning times (on log scale) at varying current density and polarity. As expected, a negative current increases 186 the DW depinning time while a positive current decreases the depinning time (Fig. Suppl. 6.2.2). We find that the 187 CDF of SOT induced DW depinning can also be fitted by a single exponential as expected for DW depinning over 188 a single barrier. It suggests that the application of SOT does not change the nature of DW dynamics in our device. 189 When plotting the obtained depinning time τ (on In-scale) as function of current density (Fig. 4 i), we find that the 190 effect of SOT on the DW depinning dynamics is equivalent with field-driven depinning. Therefore, we assume again an Arrhenius-law based exponential, including the effect of current $\tau = \tau_0 \exp\left(\frac{\Delta}{k_B T} \left[1 - \frac{B}{B_C} - \frac{J}{I_C}\right]\right)$ [34] and 191 approach the effect of current similar to magnetic field. We then obtain $\tau = \tau_0 \exp\left(\frac{\Delta}{k_B T} \left[1 - \frac{B - \epsilon_J}{B_C}\right]\right)$ which allows 192 to estimate the SOT efficiency \in on the DW depinning process: $\in = 1.5 \pm 0.24 \ mT \times (10^{11} Am^{-2})^{-1}$. This is 193 194 in agreement with literature for PtCo-based stand-alone samples [34], which indicates that the nature of DW 195 dynamics in the conduit layer is retained in nanoscale devices under both field and SOT-driven DW depinning. 196

- 197
- 198
- 199

200 Toward improvements of DW device performance with SAF-HFL design

201 The HFL proposal allows to improve device performance, for instance by integration of a SAF system as 202 DW conduit. For this purpose, a softer etching condition in the device integration process was used. Etching was 203 stopped in the reference layer (RL) above the MgO barrier to avoid any magnetic damage to the SAF-based DW 204 conduit, i.e., to the CoFeB/MgO interface of FLI. Note that this etch-stop condition induces an electrical short 205 between the pillars through the RL. We believe that it does not impact the demonstration of field-driven DW 206 motion in this study. We compare the dynamics of field-driven DW motion along PtCo-HFL and SAF-HFL 207 conduits in a 170 nm wide track shared by three MTJs, using real-time TMR detection, Fig. 5 a (Suppl. Mater. 6.1). 208 The time resolved single-shot curves in Fig. 5 b show a sequential switching of pillars PI, P2 and P3 under the 209 application of the sweeping magnetic field. It suggests that at coercivity, a domain is nucleated and sequentially



Fig. 5 | **Fast DW propagation in SAF-HFL compared to PtCo-HFL.** (a) Cross-sectional TEM image of 3 MTJs with a nominal diameter of 70 nm and interpillar spacing of 100 nm sharing the same FL. Bias tees enable individual DC and high-frequency access to each pillar. (b) Single-shot time-resolved field-driven magnetization reversal at coercivity in PtCo-HFL (42.5 mT) and SAF-HFL (63 mT), (c) Propagation time measured from 100 measurements as in **b**, showing shorter propagation time in SAF-HFL.

210 expanded throughout the DW conduit. This measurement reveals that the dynamics of DW motion in high DW

211 speed materials, more specifically in CoPt or in the Co-based SAF system, can be sensed by TMR detection in 212 nanoscale devices. The propagation time, defined as the delay-time between the onset of reversal in PI and the 213 termination of reversal in P3, is at least double in PtCo-HFL (28.99 \pm 2.63 ns) as compared to SAF-HFL (13.09 \pm 214 5.48 ns). It means that DW motion in SAF-based devices with an average DW velocity of 42 m/s, is faster than in 215 PtCo-based devices with an average DW velocity of 17 m/s. Faster DW motion in SAF is attributed to the 216 combination of DMI and interlayer exchange coupling which helps to stabilize the DW structure during its motion 217 thus extending the steady motion regime to larger fields compared to its ferromagnetic counterpart. Note that 218 our SAF is not fully compensated (Fig. Suppl. 3.2.2) and that the effect of field on DW motion will be less sensitive 219 if the SAF system is more compensated urging for SOT- rather than field-driven DW motion. Thus, we finally 220 study SOT-driven DW motion in a stand-alone SAF-FL by Kerr microscopy. We indeed observe uniform (Suppl. 221 Video) and fast SOT-driven motion (DW velocity up to 460 m/s at $J = 3 \times 10^{12} \text{ A/m}^2$) in a SAF-based sample (Fig. 222 Suppl. 6.3). This suggests that a SAF-based DW conduit could enable higher performance DW devices. Therefore, 223 we believe that fine-tuning the MTI stack design and improving etching conditions to ensure the PMA of the full 224 SAF structure will be key for fast SOT-driven DW motion devices.

225

226 **Conclusions**

227 In summary, we experimentally demonstrated the full operation of a DW device using the HFL-MTJ 228 concept which can provide all requirements for high density and low power DW-based devices including STT 229 write, TMR read and SOT-driven DW motion. This device provides a way to study the physics of DW dynamics 230 under both field and/or SOT at the nanoscale. Moreover, we showed that SAF-based DW conduit devices offer a 231 significant advantage compared to their ferromagnetic counterpart thanks to the characteristic properties of 232 antiferromagnetic materials. These include faster STT writing speed in a single MTJ device and uniform and fast 233 DW motion in the SAF-based DW conduit devices. Therefore, in combination with an optimized manufacturing 234 process of nanoscale devices, we believe that this HFL device concept not only leads the way towards the 235 practical realization of DW-based devices but also shows promise for the development of other potential 236 spintronic devices, such as skyrmion and spin-wave based devices.

I. Dieny, B. et al., Opportunities and challenges for spintronics in the microelectronics industry. Nature

Electronics 3 (8), 446-459 (2020).

- 2. Manchon, A. et al., Current-induced spin-orbit torques in ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic systems. Reviews of Modern Physics **91** (3), 035004 (2019).
- 3. Parkin, S., Hayashi, M. & Thomas, L., Magnetic domain-wall racetrack memory. *Science* **320** (5873), 190-194 (2008).
- 4. Blasing, R. et al., Magnetic Racetrack Memory: From Physics to the Cusp of Applications Within a Decade. Proc. IEEE, 1-19 (2020).
- 5. Allwood, D. A. et al., Magnetic domain-wall logic. Science 309 (5741), 1688-1692 (2005).
- 6. Nikonov, D., Bourianoff, G. & Ghani, T., Proposal of a spin torque majority gate. *IEEE Elec. Dev. Lett.* **32** (8), 1128 1130 (2011).
- 7. Hu, X., Timm, A., Brigner, W. H., Incorvia, J. A. C. & Friedman, J. S., SPICE-only model for spin-transfer torque domain wall MTJ logic. *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* **66** (6), 2817-2821 (2019).
- 8. Luo, Z. et al., Current-driven magnetic domain-wall logic. Nature 579, 214–218 (2020).
- 9. Siddiqui, S. et al., Magnetic domain wall based synaptic and activation function generator for neuromorphic accelerators. Nano Lett. 20 (2), 1033-1040 (2019).
- 10. Grollier, J. et al., Neuromorphic Spintronics. Nat. Electron., 1-11 (2020).
- 11. Yue, K., Liu, Y., Lake, R. K. & Parker, A. C., A brain-plausible neuromorphic on-the-fly learning system implemented with magnetic domain wall analog memristors. *Sci. Adv.* **5** (4), eaau8170 (2019).
- Miron, M. et al., Fast current-induced domain-wall motion controlled by the Rashba effect. Nat. Mater. 10, 419–423 (2011).
- Emori, S., Bauer, U., Ahn, S.-M., Martinez, E. & Beach, G. S., Current-driven dynamics of chiral ferromagnetic domain walls. *Nat. Mater.* 12 (7), 611-616 (2013).
- 14. Avci, C. O. et al., Interface-driven chiral magnetism and current-driven domain walls in insulating magnetic garnets. Nat. Nanotechnol. 14 (6), 561-566 (2019).
- 15. Thiaville, A., Rohart, S., Jue, E., Cros, V. & Fert, A., Dynamics of Dzyaloshinskii domain walls in ultrathin

magnetic films. EPL 100 (5), 57002 (2012).

- Yang, S.-H., Ryu, K.-S. & Parkin, S., Domain-wall velocities of up to 750 m/s driven by exchange-coupling torque in synthetic antiferromagnets. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 10, 221–226 (2015).
- 17. Kim, K.-J. et al., Fast domain wall motion in the vicinity of the angular momentum compensation temperature of ferrimagnets. Nat. Mater. 16 (12), 1187--1192 (2017).
- Ikeda, S. et al., A perpendicular-anisotropy CoFeB--MgO magnetic tunnel junction. Nat. Mater. 9, 721-724 (2010).
- 19. Burrowes, C. et al., Low depinning fields in Ta-CoFeB-MgO ultrathin films with perpendicular magnetic anisotropy. App. Phys. Lett. 103 (18), 182401 (2013).
- 20. Raymenants, E. et al., Scaled spintronic logic device based on domain wall motion in magnetically interconnected tunnel junctions, presented at IEDM, 2018 (unpublished).
- 21. Wan, D. et al., Fabrication of magnetic tunnel junctions connected through a continuous free layer to enable spin logic devices. Jpn. J. Appl. Phys 57 (45), 04FN01 (2018).
- 22. Liu, E. et al., Control of interlayer exchange coupling and its impact on spin--torque switching of hybrid free layers with perpendicular magnetic anisotropy. *IEEE Trans. Magn.* **53** (11), 1-5 (2017).
- 23. Duine, R., Lee, K.-J., Parkin, S. S. & Stiles, M. D., Synthetic antiferromagnetic spintronics. *Nat. Phys.* 14 (3), 217–219 (2018).
- 24. Perrissin, N. et al., A highly thermally stable sub-20 nm magnetic random-access memory based on perpendicular shape anisotropy. *Nanoscale* **10** (25), 12187 (2018).
- Avil'es-Felix, L. et al., Single-shot all-optical switching of magnetization in Tb/Co multilayer based electrodes.
 Sci. Rep. 10 (1), 1-8 (2020).
- Legrand, W. et al., Room-temperature current-induced generation and motion of sub-100 nm skyrmions. Nat. Mater. 19 (1), 34-42 (2020).
- 27. Dohi, T., DuttaGupta, S., Fukami, S. & Ohno, H., Formation and current-induced motion of synthetic antiferromagnetic skyrmion bubbles. *Nat. Commun.* **10** (1), 1-6 (2019).

- 28. Hirohata, A. et al., Review on Spintronics: Principles and Device Applications. J. Magn. Magn. Mater., 166711 (2020).
- 29. Devolder, T. et al., Damping of CoxFe80- xB20 ultrathin films with perpendicular magnetic anisotropy. Appl. Phys. Lett. 102 (2), 022407 (2013).
- 30. Bouquin, P., Rao, S., Kar, G. S. & Devolder, T., Size dependence of spin-torque switching in perpendicular magnetic tunnel junctions. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **113** (22), 222408 (2018).
- 31. Alzate, J. G. et al., 2 MB array-level demonstration of STT-MRAM process and performance towards L4 cache applications, presented at IEDM, 2019 (unpublished).
- 32. Brown, W. F. J., Thermal fluctuations of a single-domain particle. Phys. Rev. 130 (5), 1677 (1963).
- Fukami, S., Ieda, J. & Ohno, H., Thermal stability of a magnetic domain wall in nanowires. *Phys. Rev. B* **91** (23), 235401 (2015).
- 34. Haazen, P. et al., Domain wall depinning governed by the spin Hall effect. Nature Materials 12 (4), 299-303 (2013).
- 35. Metaxas, P. a. J. J. a. M. A. et al., reep and flow regimes of magnetic domain-wall motion in ultrathin Pt/Co/Pt films with perpendicular anisotropy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99** (21), 217208 (2007).

238

239 Methods

240 Sample fabrication: All devices were fabricated in imec's 300 mm pilot line. First, a buried bottom electrode 241 contact (BEC) process was used to define the bottom electrode. Here, single damascene W metallization was 242 applied two times, first to define BEC feedlines and second to define contact plugs. Next, the W contact plugs 243 were capped by an ultra-smooth TaN seed layer prior to MTI deposition. The stack is deposited using an 244 ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) multitarget magnetron sputtering system at room temperature without breaking vacuum 245 between depositions (EC7800 Canon-Anelva). Pillars were patterned by 193 nm immersion lithography and a subsequent ion-beam-etch (IBE) at normal and grazing angle for single pillar and DW devices. The DW devices 246 247 required additional 193 nm immersion lithography double patterning to further define the free layer shape. Finally, 248 dual damascene Cu top contacts were fabricated to provide electrical readout at the individual pillars. The dual

249MgOFLstack,PtCo-HFLstack,SAF-HFLandSFM-HFLstackconsistfrombottomtotop,of250 $Co_{20}Fe_{60}B_{20}(9)/Mg(6)/Ta(3)/$ $Co_{20}Fe_{60}B_{20}(11)/MgO(10),$ of $Pt(30)/Co(8)/W(3)/Co_{20}Fe_{60}B_{20}(8)/MgO(10),$ of251 $Pt(30)/Co(8)/Ru(8.5)/Co(6)/W(3)/Co_{20}Fe_{60}B_{20}(8)/MgO(10),$ andof252 $Pt(30)/Co(8)/Ru(13)/Co(6)/W(3)/Co_{20}Fe_{60}B_{20}(8)/MgO(10),$ respectively. Thicknesses in brackets are provided in

Angstrom. The magnetic hard layer (HL) consists of a $Co_{17.5}Fe_{52.5}B_{30}$ reference layer (RL) which is ferromagnetically coupled to a SAF that consists of $Co(12)/Ru(8.5)/Co(6)/Pt(8)/[Co(3)/Pt(8)]_6$. More information on the fabrication process can be found in [21].

256 Electrical setup: A detailed scheme of the electrical setup of Fig. 3 is provided in Fig. Suppl. 5.1, this setup 257 allows time-resolved measurements of STT-driven magnetization reversal in single pillars. The measurements 258 were performed on high-frequency capable 100 nm pillars. By applying voltage pulses of varying amplitude and 259 short ramp time (\sim 300 ps) we could study the magnetization dynamics down to the nanosecond regime. The 260 magnetic offset field was compensated for by an external magnetic field during the STT-driven reversal. Prior to 261 the recording of the single shot data, two reference traces were recorded for the P and AP state as described in 262 Suppl. Mater. 5. The single shot data travels between the two recorded reference states, which allow the 263 normalization of the single shot data, as shown in Fig. Suppl. 5.2. For each point in Fig. 3, 100 single shots were 264 recorded.

The electrical setup for the DW devices in Fig. 4, consists of a source measure unit (SMU) at each pillar, allowing individual STT-writing and TMR readout in each pillar. An additional SMU is connected to the track to allow inplane current in the heavy metal. To avoid erroneous signals during SOT-driven DW transport, SMUs at the MTJs are kept floating while a current (100 ms) is applied through the track. Consecutively, each pillar is read out.

The electrical setup in Fig. 5, consists of radiofrequency and DC paths enabled by bias tees at each pillar. A DC bias is applied at every pillar during magnetization reversal driven by field. At coercivity, the resistance of the pillar suddenly changes leading to a reflection of the applied current. The recorded rf voltages measured by the 25 GHz oscilloscope then equal the reflected rf current multiplied by the 50 Ω termination of the scope channels.

273

Acknowledgements This work was performed as part of the imec IIAP core CMOS program. The authors thank the P-line for operational support. The Kerr microscopy experiments were performed at Technische

- 276 Universiteit Eindhoven, the authors thank Reinoud Lavrijsen. E. R. gratefully acknowledges FWO-Vlaanderen for a
- 277 Strategic Basic Research Ph.D. fellowship.
- 278

279	Author contributions V.D.N. and S.C. conceived the HFL device concept and managed the project. E.R. and
280	V.D.N performed the SOT-driven DW measurements, E.R., O.B., V.D.N and T.D. performed the time-resolved
281	experiments and interpreted the results with help of K.G., S.C., D.W., I.R., I.A, I.Y., D.N., B.S. and M.H.; Device
282	integration was performed by D.W., Y.C., L.S., A.T. and D.T.; The thin films were deposited by S.C.; E.R., O.B.,
283	KG. and V.D.N. wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.
284	

286 Extended Figures



Extended Data Fig. I | Representation of current flow. Current path for reading and writing displayed for

center pillar (**a**) and for DW motion driven by SOT (**b**).

287



Extended Data Fig. 2 | Comparison of coercive field and propagation field. (a) The coercive field B_c is the switching field obtained during a conventional RH loop. The propagation field B_P is obtained after STT-driven nucleation in the center pillar (P2) and consecutive sweeping of the z-field from 0 mT upwards. The domain then expands to the outer pillars (P1 and P3). (b) Box plots of the coercive and propagation fields of 10 measurements. The average coercive field is 61 mT while the propagation field to both pillars is only 33 mT. (c) Demonstration of the initial state of the device before the RH loops is initiated. Device is fully saturated to obtain coercive field B_c (top), a domain was initially nucleated in P2 to obtain the propagation field B_P (bottom).



Extended Data Fig. 3 | ~100 x reproducibility of SOT-driven DW transport as in Fig. 4 e, f, STT nucleation in P2 followed by SOT-transport to P3 with positive current (top) and SOT transport to P1 with negative current (bottom). Measurement ~100 x reproducible with each current polarity. The DW never propagates to the reverse direction, i.e. no switch in P1 (top) and P3 (bottom).



Extended Data Fig. 4 | Full demo of SOT-driven transport starting from each pillar. (Top left) Resistance versus field loop displays the coercive field B_C , the propagation field B_P and the assist field B_A for SOT-driven transport. The schematics at the top display the applied current polarity and direction of DW motion. The domain is nucleated by STT in PI (second row), P2 (third row), and P3 (fourth row) as shown by the schematics on the left. In the last case (fifth row), no domain is nucleated by STT. The latter confirms that all the above are SOT-driven propagation of DWs, and not SOT-driven switching.



Extended Data Fig. 5 | Fabrication process in imec's 300 mm pilot line. (a) Bottom electrode contact (BEC), BEC feedlines and contact plugs were defined. (b) MTJ stack deposition using UHV magnetron sputtering system (c) Pillar patterning by 193 nm immersion lithography followed by ion-beam-etch (IBE) (d) FL shape is defined by 193 nm immersion lithography double patterning (e) Dual damascene Cu top contact formation (f) 3-dimensional image of DW device with individual TE for each pillar.