

This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Electronically decoupled stacking fault tetrahedra embedded in Au(111) films

Reference:

Schouteden Koen, Amin-Ahmadi Behnam, Li Zhe, Muzychenko Dmitry, Schryvers Dominique, Van Haesendonck Chris.-Electronically decoupled stacking fault tetrahedra embedded in Au(111) films Nature communications - ISSN 2041-1723 - 7(2016), 14001 Full text (Publishers DOI): http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1038/NCOMMS14001

uantwerpen.be

Institutional repository IRUA

Electronically decoupled stacking fault tetrahedra embedded in Au(111) films

Koen Schouteden^{1*}, Behnam Amin-Ahmadi², Zhe Li¹, Dmitry Muzychenko³, Dominique Schryvers², and Chris Van Haesendonck¹

1) Solid-State Physics and Magnetism Section, KU Leuven, BE-3001 Leuven, Belgium

2) Electron Microscopy for Materials Science (EMAT), University of Antwerp, BE-2020 Antwerp, Belgium

3) Faculty of Physics, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, 119991 Moscow, Russia

* koen.schouteden@fys.kuleuven.be

ABSTRACT Stacking faults are known as defective structures in crystalline materials that typically lower the structural quality of the material. Here, we show that a particular type of defects, *i.e.*, stacking fault tetrahedra (SFTs), exhibits quantized, particle-in-a-box electronic behaviour, revealing a potential synthetic route to decoupled nanoparticles in metal films. We report on the electronic properties of SFTs that exist in Au(111) films, as evidenced by scanning tunnelling microscopy and confirmed by transmission electron microscopy. We find that the SFTs reveal a remarkable decoupling from their metal surroundings, leading to pronounced energy level quantization effects within the SFTs. The electronic behaviour of the SFTs can be described well by the particle-in-a-box model. Our findings demonstrate that controlled preparation of SFTs may offer an alternative way to achieve well decoupled nanoparticles of high crystalline quality in metal thin films without the need of thin insulating layers.

KEYWORDS Stacking fault tetrahedra, quantum dots, scanning tunnelling microscopy, transmission electron microscopy.

Introduction

Stacking faults (SFs) are crystalline defect-type structures that occur in crystalline materials, e.g., due to a local mismatch of the atomic stacking within the crystallographic planes or due to a deviation in the stacking sequence of the planes. These defects can occur more frequently for crystalline films that are grown on a foreign substrate depending on the lattice mismatch. SFs are typically considered to be undesired defects that lower the film structural properties and therefore much effort is done to avoid their formation^{1,2}. However, they also show intriguing electronic properties^{3,4} that may be exploited if they can be created in a controlled manner. The formation of SFs in crystals is promoted by quenching from high temperature, by high-energy particle irradiation, and by doping with, e.g., Mg, Cd or Zn^{1,2,5, 6,7}. Alternatively, their formation can also be promoted by growth of thin films on selected substrates with an appropriate lattice mismatch.³

One very particular type of defect is the so-called stacking fault tetrahedron (SFT), which consists in fact out of four different triangular shaped SF planes that together form a three-dimensional quasiperfect nanocrystalline defect. Previously, we reported on lateral quantization effects in stacking fault tetrahedra (SFTs) in pristine Ag(111) surfaces grown on mica⁴. These SFTs appear spontaneously during the Ag film growth and they are known to exist in various metals^{5,6,7}, including Ag(111) films^{3,8}. Thus far, studies have been focusing on the growth and annihilation of SFs, yet the electronic properties of SFTs have remained largely unexplored.

Here, we report for the first time on the electronic properties of SFTs that are retrieved in Au(111) films grown on mica and that we investigated by scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM), scanning tunnelling spectroscopy (STS) and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM). We find that the embedded Au SFTs reveal a remarkable decoupling from their metal surrounding, which can be attributed to their stacking-fault-type origin. This implies that the SFTs may be considered as metallic quantum dots that are embedded in a metallic film. The Au SFTs accommodate an electronic state at their exposed surface that differs strongly from that of the surrounding Au(111) surface state.

Au SFTs therefore reveal a very different electronic behaviour than previously investigated Ag SFTs, which showed a lateral quantization effect of the Ag(111) surface state without a clear decoupling from the surrounding Ag(111) substrate⁴. This remarkable difference highlights the rich and diverse electronic properties of SFTs, which appear to strongly depend on the material.

Results

Identification of the SFTs in Au by combined STM and HRTEM

The Au(111) surface is well-known for its remarkable surface reconstruction that is commonly referred to as a herringbone reconstruction^{9,10}. It consists of a periodic modulation of the surface topography, in which surface atoms rearrange in either face centered cubic (fcc) or hexagonal close packed (hcp) stacking. Hcp and fcc regions are separated by discommensuration lines in which the atoms are slightly squeezed out of the otherwise atomically flat (111) surface. These ridges are running along three directions following the (111) surface and typically switch their orientation in a periodic, herringbone-type manner. At the elbows of the reconstruction ridges a single atomic point dislocation exists^{11,12}.

Locally, the herringbone ridges can show a more disordered appearance. In these regions, it is occasionally observed that three pairs of herringbone ridges seem to merge together as illustrated in Fig. 1a. Within our experiments, we find that at 1 to 10 % of such crossroads a larger defect-like feature can exist . These defect-like features have a very regular shape and they either appear as depressions or as protrusions, as is the case for the two highlighted defects in Fig. 1a. At the used tunnelling voltage the defect enclosed by the dotted circle has a depth of 25 ± 5 pm, while the defect enclosed by the dotted circle has a depth of 25 ± 5 pm, while the defect enclosed by the dotted circle has a depth of 25 ± 5 pm, while the defect enclosed by the dotted circle has a depth of 25 ± 5 pm, while the defect enclosed by the dotted circle has a depth of 25 ± 5 pm, while the defect enclosed by the dotted circle has a depth of 25 ± 5 pm, while the defect enclosed by the dotted circle has a depth of 25 ± 5 pm, while the defect enclosed by the dotted circle has a depth of 25 ± 5 pm, while the defect enclosed by the dotted circle has a depth of 25 ± 5 pm, while the defect enclosed by the dotted circle has a depth of 25 ± 5 pm, while the defect enclosed by the dashed circle has a height of 35 ± 5 pm. Due to their exclusive appearance at these herringbone crossroads and their crystalline shapes, these defects are considered to have a similar origin as the SFTs that exist in Ag(111) films⁸. Apart from the regular-shaped defects at the crossroads,

also other defects can be observed in Fig. 1a. These defects are commonly observed in STM images of our Au(111) films and are interpreted as (sub)surface atomic-size defects (an impurity atom or a Au vacancy) in the top atomic layers of the Au(111) film. These defects act as effective scattering centers for both surface and bulk electrons, which we have previously reported in Ref. [13]. The existence of SFTs in our gold films is directly confirmed via HRTEM experiments and corresponding inverse fast Fourier transform (IFFT) and local strain mapping (showing local atom displacements due to the presence of the SFT). Two examples of a triangular shaped SFT are presented in Figs. 1b and 1c, displaying similar contrast as in previous TEM work². The more hexagonal shape of the defects at the Au(111) surface in Fig. 1a points to truncated $SFTs^{1,14}$. In the following, such defects are referred to as SFTs. Based on our STM and STS experiments discussed below, we rule out other possible origins for the observed SFTs. We find that the SFTs are retrieved less frequently in Au(111) films when compared to Ag(111) films⁴, which can be explained by the fact that Au(111) films have a larger stacking-fault formation energy¹⁵.

For our experiments the observed lateral sizes of the Au SFTs are in the 1 to 5 nm range, i.e., about two to three times smaller than the Ag(111) SFTs reported in Ref. [4]. The Au SFTs were observed on 9 different samples (each prepared as described in the Methods Section), irrespective of the amount of cleaning cycles (ranging from 1 up to 8 cycles of ion bombardment and annealing). In total, more than 100 SFTs were retrieved, all of them at herringbone crossroads similar to the SFT presented in Fig. 1a. The amount (density) of SFTs can vary considerably from one sample to another (ranging from only one SFT within 1 μ m² to several SFTs within 0.01 μ m²). We did not find a clear relation between the annealing time (ranging from 1 hour to more than 12 hours) and the annealing temperature (ranging from 330 °C to 430 °C) on the one hand, and the density of SFTs on the other hand. The observed variation of the SFT densities among our samples may be related to a variation of the density of impurities and vacancies in our gold films, which can act as nucleation centres for SFT formation⁷. The

SFT size and density may be tuned by varying the growth parameters (*e.g.*, film thickness, involved temperatures, rate of deposition, *etc.*) and by selecting a different substrate for the Au(111) film growth³.



Figure 1 | **Identification of the Au stacking fault tetrahedra (SFTs)** (a) Scanning tunnelling microscopy topography of two defect-like features with the shape of a truncated triangle (V = +100 mV, I = 1 nA), which are interpreted as (truncated) SFTs. At the Au(111) surface these features exist at the crossroads of three pairs of herringbone ridges exclusively. Image size: 29 x 36 nm². (b), (c) cross-sectional <110> high-resolution transmission electron microscopy micrographs of the Au(111) film revealing the presence of SFTs, which are highlighted by black solid and white dashed lines, respectively. The top left inset in (c) shows the fast Fourier transform pattern in which $\mathbf{g} = \overline{1}11$ is indicated by a white circle. The inverse fast Fourier transform of $\mathbf{g} = \overline{1}11$ is presented in the upper right inset. It shows the shift of the {111} planes due to the presence of the stacking faults in (c). The local strain map ($\mathbf{g} = \overline{1}11$) of the indicated SFT is presented in the lower right inset, which shows the dislocations as hot spots and corresponding strain gradients due to the SFT. The scale bars in (b) and (c) correspond to a length of 5 nm. The color scale bar in the lower right inset in (c) indicates the relative strain variations.

Probing the electronic behaviour of the SFTs by STM and STS

Next, we performed a detailed STM investigation of the SFTs. Remarkably, the height of the SFTs (with respect to the surrounding Au(111) surface) in the STM topographies depends strongly on the tunnelling voltage. This is illustrated in Figs. 2a and 2b. At voltages below 1 V, the SFT appears as a depression with a voltage-independent depth (Fig. 2a). At voltages above 1V, the depth is strongly voltage-dependent and the SFT can even appear higher than the surrounding Au(111) surface (Fig. 2b). The dependence of the height of the SFT on the tunnelling voltage is demonstrated in more detail in Fig. 2c, which shows the height of the SFT in Figs. 2a and 2b as inferred from STM topographies (blue dots) and from distance-voltage z(V) curves (red dotted line) that are recorded on the SFT (green solid line) and the surrounding Au(111) surface (black dashed line). Figure 2c demonstrates that the height of the SFT oscillates with increasing voltage. This peculiar behaviour is observed for all thus investigated SFTs and can be accounted for by the specific electronic structure of the SFTs.

Figure 2d presents dI/dV spectra that are recorded together with the (black dashed and green solid) z(V) spectra in Fig. 2c. These spectra reflect the local density of states (LDOS) of the SFT and the Au(111) surface. The Au(111) spectrum is more or less featureless within the surface band gap that ranges up to about 3.7 V¹⁶. Around this voltage, the bottom of the bulk conduction band appears as a pronounced step in the dI/dV signal (indicated by vertical black dotted line). At higher voltages four image-potential states are revealed (labelled 1 to 4). These electronic resonances in Fig. 2d are observed as a step in the corresponding z(V) spectrum in Fig. 2c. Image-potential states exist below the vacuum level, yet they are shifted to higher voltages due to the applied electric field in the STM experiments¹⁷. Electrons within an image-potential state act as a two-dimensional free-electron-like gas that can move freely parallel to the surface. In contrast to the Au(111) spectrum, the SFT spectrum reveals the presence of pronounced electronic resonances in the same voltage region, i.e., around 1.6 V, 2.3 V and 3.2 V

(labelled A to C), as well as three image-potential states (labelled 1' to 3'). Remarkably, the step-like onset of the bulk conduction band is completely absent in the SFT spectrum. This absence indicates that scattering from SFT electrons to Au bulk states is (nearly) absent and hence points to a strong decoupling of the Au SFT from the surrounding Au(111) surface.



Figure 2 | Electronic behaviour of the stacking fault tetrahedra (SFTs) (a) and (b) Scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM) topography of a SFT different from the ones in Fig. 1a recorded at -1000 mV and +3100 mV, respectively. Image sizes: 6 x 6 nm². (c) Voltage-dependent height/depth (red dotted curve) of the SFT in (a)) and (b) determined via z(V) spectroscopy (closed feedback loop) of the SFT (green sold curve) and the surrounding Au(111) (black dashed curve). Blue data points are obtained from STM topographies recorded at different voltages. (d) Corresponding dI/dV spectra of the Au SFT and the surrounding Au(111) surface (closed feedback loop).

The image-potential states of the investigated Au SFTs always appear at higher voltages compared to the corresponding voltages of the surrounding Au(111) surface, as illustrated in Fig. 2d (also see Supplementary Fig. 5). We note here that it can be excluded that the states labelled A to C are image-potential states, as will be demonstrated below. The voltage difference between the image-potential states of the Au(111) and SFT (1'-1, 2'-2, 3'-3, ...) is typically several hundreds of meV (see Supplementary Fig. 5). We can then conclude that the work function of the SFTs is higher than that of the bare Au(111) surface¹⁸. Following theoretical work reported in Ref. [19], this implies that the two-dimensional image-potential states should not be confined within the contours of the Au SFTs, in contrast to Ag SFTs in Ag(111)⁴. The increased work function may be attributed to a decreased distance between the successive atomic layers in the Au SFT compared to the surrounding Au(111) atomic layers²⁰.

The above described observations hold for all SFTs that we investigated with STS: (1) absence of Au(111) bulk conduction band, (2) pronounced resonances between 1 V and 4 V, and (3) image-potential states occur at higher voltages compared to the surrounding Au(111). These common properties of the SFTs, in addition to their similar appearance, imply that the SFTs have a similar structure and hence a similar origin.

STM observation of quantization effects in SFTs

Next, we focus on the electronic resonances of the SFTs that are resolved at lower voltages, such as those labelled A to C in Fig. 2d. Figure 3a presents an STM topography of another, larger Au SFT. Corresponding dI/dV spectra are presented in Fig. 3b and reveal maxima around 1.6 V, 2.1 V and 2.6 V. The spectra are recorded with the same tunnelling voltage setpoint yet with different tunnelling current setpoints, implying different electric fields between the STM tip and the sample. It can be seen

in Fig. 3b that the resonances do not exhibit a detectable Stark shift for the used settings. This excludes interpretation of the resonances in terms of image-potential states, which are strongly dependent on the electric field¹⁷.

Figure 3c displays a selection of LDOS maps recorded on the Au SFT in Fig. 3a. It can be seen that pronounced wave patterns start to develop within the contours of the Au SFT for voltages exceeding about 1.5 V. The patterns within the SFT have a very high intensity compared to that of the surrounding Au(111) surface up to the bottom of the bulk conduction band around 3.7 V (see Fig. 2d). Above this value the signal on the Au(111) surface increases drastically and wave patterns of the SFT become more and more difficult to discern. Standing waves cannot be observed on the surrounding Au(111) surface above 3.7 V due to the strong coupling of the Au(111) surface state to the bulk states. On the Au SFT, wave patterns can be resolved up to about 4.5 V (more d*I*/d*V* maps of the Au SFT in Fig. 3a are presented in Supplementary Fig. 1). This further confirms the strong decoupling of the Au SFTs from the surrounding Au(111).



Figure 3 Quantization in a large stacking fault tetrahedron(SFT) (a) Scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM) topography of a larger Au SFT (V = 750 mV; image size = 7 x 7 nm²). (b) Current-dependent dI/dV spectra (closed feedback loop) recorded at the center of the SFT in (a). The spectra reveal no significant shift of the electronic states with varying electric field, which is determined by the tunnelling current. (c) Corresponding series of local density of states (LDOS) maps recorded at the indicated voltages (image sizes are 9 x 9 nm², except for the image at 4200 mV which is 6 x 6 nm²). (d) LDOS map at 3400 mV (top) and simulated image (bottom). Dashed lines are added as guides for the eye. Circles in (a) and (d) indicate the position of the adatom-scatterers that are used to obtain the simulated image in (d)^{21,22}. Image sizes are 7 x 7 nm².

It is clear that the resonances and the wave patterns in Fig. 3c do not exhibit a repeating periodic behaviour with applied tunnelling voltage (also see Supplementary Fig. 1). This excludes interpretation of the Au SFTs in terms of subsurface Ar bubbles that may remain after cleaning of the sample. Scattering of bulk electrons between the subsurface Ar bubble and the metal film surface leads to quantum-well-type resonances and electron standing waves that show a periodic behaviour with applied tunnelling voltage^{23,24}. Given the applied high annealing temperature, the presence of remaining Ar bubbles in our Au(111) films is unlikely. Moreover, in case of subsurface Ar bubbles one expects to still probe the Au(111) bulk conduction band in *dI/dV* spectra recorded above the bubble. The Au SFTs have an appearance that is similar to that of Au vacancy islands that can be controllably created by mild ion bombardment and annealing²⁵. However, while vacancy islands have a depth of one atomic layer that is independent of the applied tunnelling voltage a discussed above. Moreover, the electronic behaviour of Au SFTs differs strongly from that of Au vacancy islands^{25,26}. Au vacancy islands confine the surface state of the Au(111) surface within their step boundaries. The surface state

electrons within the vacancy islands experience a considerable coupling to the bare Au(111) substrate and their confinement persists only up to the onset of the bulk conduction band around 3.7 eV.

We therefore interpret the observed wave patterns and energy resonances of the Au SFTs as a new electronic state that exists within the Au SFTs and that can be probed at the SFT facet that is exposed at the surface. This electronic state of the SFT exhibits a behaviour reflecting that of a surface state. The known surface state of the Au(111) surface is characterized by a parabolic-like dispersion with an onset energy $E_0 = -460$ meV and an effective electron mass $m^* = 0.23 m_e^{-13.26}$. To learn more about the electronic state of the Au SFTs, we performed simulations using the particle-in-a-box software (available via Ref. [22]) developed by K.-F. Braun²¹. For the Au SFT in Fig. 3, we achieve good agreement between the experimental LDOS maps and the 2D particle-in-a-box model when using an onset energy $E_0 = 1490$ meV and an effective electron mass $m^* = 0.33 m_e$. Figure 3d presents an experimental LDOS map together with the result of the simulation at 3400 mV. More simulation images are presented in Supplementary Fig. 1. These used values for E_0 and m^* differ strongly from those of the Au(111) surface state, which may be accounted for by a different stacking of the Au atoms within the SFT when compared to the Au(111) film, as indicated above.



Figure 4 | **Quantization in a small stacking fault tetrahedron (SFT)** (a) Scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM) topography of a small Au SFT. Image size: $16 \times 16 \text{ nm}^2$. V = -250 mV, I = 1 nA. (b)-(f) Corresponding dz/dV maps of the region indicated by the dotted square in (a) at the indicated voltages. Image size: $6.5 \times 6.5 \text{ nm}^2$. (g) dz/dV spectra recorded at the center of the maximum in (c) (red solid curve) and at the center of the area enclosed by the three maxima in (d) (blue dashed curve). A spectrum of the surrounding Au(111) is added as a reference (black dotted curve).

Comparison to the particle-in-a-box model

To further verify our interpretation in terms of quantum confinement within the Au SFTs, we now focus on a Au SFT that is significantly smaller. The lateral size (exposed facet) of the SFT in Fig. 4a is only about 1.5 - 2.0 nm. Corresponding dz/dV maps that reflect the LDOS are presented in Figs. 4b-f.

The dz/dV spectrum in Fig. 4g reveals the existence of three electronic resonances below the voltage at which the first image-potential state occurs, i.e., at 2050 mV, 3100 mV, and 4100 mV. Here as well, the image-potential states above the SFT occur at higher voltages compared to the surrounding Au(111) surface. The dz/dV maps at 2050 mV, 3100 mV, and 4100 mV are similar to the maps for the larger SFT in Fig. 3 at 1600 mV, 1900 mV, and 2100 mV, respectively.

The three electronic resonances of the small SFT exist at higher energies compared to the larger SFT in Fig. 3, in agreement with our interpretation in terms of the particle-in-a-box model. Considering the same onset energy $E_{0,SFT}$ and effective electron mass m^* , the electronic resonances $E_{n,SFT}$ (eigenstates) and the corresponding wave patterns of SFTs with different size \Box can be linked to each other using the particle-in-a-box equation

$$E_{n,\text{SFT}} = E_{0,\text{SFT}} + \Box_{n,\text{SFT}} \times (m^* \times \Box)^{-1}, \qquad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$
(1).

In Eq. (1), the eigenvalues $\Box_{n,SFT}$ depend solely on the shape of the confining box. Assuming the same (truncated triangular) shape for the SFT in Fig. 4 as that used to model the data in Fig. 3, we find good agreement between the simulated images and the experimental dz/dV maps if \Box is taken 25% the size of that used for Fig. 3. This is in very good agreement with the size determined based on the STM topography in Fig. 4a.

d*I*/d*V* maps of the Au SFT in Figs. 2a and 2b are presented in Supplementary Fig. 2. Maps of yet another Au SFT are presented in Supplementary Fig. 3. This SFT has a very similar lateral size and shape as that in Supplementary Fig. 2 and shows quasi-identical wave patterns and voltage-dependent behaviour. The SFT in Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. 1 is slightly larger than the two SFTs in Supplementary Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. 3 and similar wave patterns are formed at somewhat lower voltages (e.g., the wave pattern at 3200 mV in Supplementary Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. 3

occurs at 2900 – 3000 mV for the NC in Supplementary Fig. 1), again in agreement with the particlein-a-box model.



Figure 5 | **Size-dependence of the quantization effects** Energy (voltage) values of the electronic resonances that are observed for Au stacking fault tetrahedra (SFTs) of different size. The area of the exposed SFT facet at the Au(111) surface is determined from STM topographies. The solid lines are plots of the theoretically expected size dependence for a hexagonal box following Eq. (1) using $E_0 = 1490$ meV and $m^* = 0.33 m_e^{25}$. For each SFT surface area, the error bars for resonances B and C are the same as the ones for resonance A. The error of the determined surface area scales with the square of the diameter of the exposed SFT surface area and (at least partially) takes into account tip convolution effects. The error of the determined energy values depends on the lock-in amplitude (if determined from STS measurements) or from the used voltage interval (if determined from voltage-dependent LDOS mappings as is the case for the data point at 16 nm²).

Figure 5 presents an overview of the energy values of the electronic resonances of all investigated Au SFTs as a function of their surface area. It can be seen that there exists a strong correlation between the

energies and the SFT surface area. This is again in line with interpretation of the electronic resonances in terms of the particle-in-a-box model, i.e., the electronic behaviour can be described by the same onset energy $E_0 = 1490$ meV and the same effective electron mass $m^* = 0.33$ m_e for all SFTs. In turn, this additionally indicates that all investigated SFTs have the same atomic structure, i.e., they are all (truncated) Au SFTs that occur spontaneously in the Au(111) film and of which one facet is exposed at the Au(111) surface.

Deviations of the experimental data in Fig. 5 from the theoretical model may be attributed at least partially to deviations from the assumed idealized hexagonal (truncated triangular) shape. In particular, deviations in Fig. 5 are most pronounced for the smaller SFTs such as the one in Fig. 4a. For these smaller SFTs the precise shape can be observed less clearly in STM images and it may be more close to that of a triangle rather than a hexagon. In addition, electron scattering at the subsurface SF planes of the SFT may affect the ideal particle-in-a-box type confinement of electrons.

Finally, as indicated above, the exposed surfaces of the Au SFTs all have a regular crystalline shape, i.e., the shape of a hexagon or truncated triangle. Exceptionally, an equilateral, triangularly shaped defect-like feature is found (only one observation, see Supplementary Fig. 4). The arrangement of the herringbone ridges at the triangular shaped feature differs from that of the other SFTs (Supplementary Fig. 4a). Moreover, the triangular feature exhibits an electronic behaviour that differs from the other SFTs. It shows the Au(111) bulk conduction band similar to the surrounding Au(111) surface (Supplementary Fig. 4k). In addition, wave patterns can already be observed in LDOS maps at voltages close to the Fermi level (Supplementary Fig. 4f and 4g), while the SFTs discussed above reveal wave patterns only above 1490 mV. The resolved wave patterns (Supplementary Fig. 4e-i) and resonance in the STS spectrum (Supplementary Fig. 4j) can be interpreted as confinement of the bare Au(111) surface state (Supplementary Fig. 4j) following the particle-in-a-box model with $E_0 = -460$ meV and $m^* = 0.23 m_e$, similar to the results reported in Ref. [25] for Au islands. This triangular feature

therefore must have a different structure than the other Au SFTs. The triangular feature may be interpreted as a so-called Frank loop, consisting of a single stacking fault. The Frank loop is very similar to the SFT in terms of the spatial coordinates of atoms.

In conclusion, we performed a detailed STM investigation of Au SFTs that exist in Au(111) films, as evidenced by TEM experiments. The SFTs exhibit a set of discrete electronic resonances and reveal pronounced voltage-dependent wave patterns in maps of the density of states. The wave patterns exist up to energies well above the bottom of the bulk conduction band of the Au(111) film, indicative of a strong decoupling of the Au SFT from its surroundings. This behaviour is found to correlate with the size of the Au SFTs. From analysis using a two-dimensional particle-in-a-box model we find that the electronic behaviour can be described well by an electronic state with parabolic dispersion having an onset energy of about 1490 meV above the Fermi level and an effective electron mass of about 0.33 $m_{\rm e}$.

Our findings demonstrate that controlled introduction of SFTs may offer an alternative way to obtain well decoupled quantum dots of high crystalline quality in metal thin films without the need of thin insulating layers, which often make sample preparation more cumbersome²⁷. In addition, SFTs can be expected to have an enhanced stability at room temperature when compared to deposited nanoclusters of similar size^{27,28}. Obviously, their controlled preparation will be a crucial issue for further developments in this direction. A potential route to overcome this issue could be by creating regular patterns of defects in the substrate, at which SFTs may preferentially start to form during the metal film growth on the support. At the present stage, however, it is already evident from our findings that the investigation of the electronic properties of SFTs opens up a new playground for fundamental investigations of quantum mechanical finite size effects in surfaces.

Methods

Sample preparation. Epitaxially grown, 140 nm thick Au(111) films on freshly cleaved mica were prepared by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) at elevated temperatures as described in Ref. [29]. Sample transfer from the MBE set-up to the low-temperature ultra-high vacuum (UHV) STM set-up is performed under ambient conditions. The Au(111) surfaces are cleaned in the preparation chamber of the STM set-up by repeated cycles of Ar ion bombardment (at about 4 keV and 10^{-6} mbar Ar partial pressure) and annealing (at about 720 K). The resulting film surfaces consist of atomically flat islands with dimensions up to 500×500 nm² [13].

STM experiments. All experiments were conducted in a UHV system (for sample preparation, base pressure in the 10^{-9} mbar range) that is connected to a low-temperature STM (Omicron Nanotechnology) operated at 4.5 K (for sample measurement, base pressure in the 10^{-11} mbar range). (dI/dV)(V) spectra and dI/dV maps (commonly referred to as LDOS maps) were acquired by lock-in detection with closed feedback loop (amplitude is typically about 20 to 50 mV) at 800Hz. (dz/dV)(V) spectra and dz/dV maps that also reflect the LDOS are obtained numerically from recorded z(V) spectra. STM data in this work were obtained with mechanically cut PtIr (10% Ir) STM tips, and with polycrystalline W tips that were electrochemically etched and cleaned *in situ* by thermal treatment. All bias voltages mentioned are with respect to the sample, and the STM tip was virtually grounded. The STM images were analysed using the Nanotec WSxM software³⁰.

TEM experiments. The cross-sectional TEM thin foils were fabricated in a dual beam Helios NanoLab 660 (FEI) setup using the lift-out procedure. In order to protect the surface of the Au film from damage caused by the incident Ga^+ ions of the focused ion beam (FIB)³¹, a protective Pt layer was first deposited using electron-beam assisted deposition (5 kV, 0.8 nA) followed by an ion-beam assisted deposited Pt layer (30 kV, 0.23 nA). In order to minimize any damage on the sample during thinning,

final cleaning on both sides of the thin lamella was performed using a low energy ion beam of 1 kV and 95 pA. High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) characterizations of the Au films were carried out using a FEI Tecnai G2 (FEG, 200 kV). To achieve clear visualization of single dislocations and SFs, local strain mapping was performed using the Geometric Phase Analysis (GPA), which is an image processing technique that is sensitive to small displacements of the lattice fringes in HRTEM images³². Energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis was performed in TEM and did not reveal any trace of Ga⁺ ions in the TEM sample. However, with a detection limit of around 1 at.% this does not exclude the existence of some Ga in the film and thus the production of extra vacancies, so in order to confirm that SFTs are intrinsic to the present Au films and not FIB induced artifacts, a bulk pure Au (99.99%) reference sample was annealed at 973 K for 24 h. Next, a cross-sectional FIB sample was produced using the same conditions as for the Au film. HRTEM investigation on this sample only revealed dislocation loops and individual SFs, while no SFTs were found (also see Supplementary Fig. 6).

Calculations. Simulations are performed using particle-in-a-box software (available via Ref. [22]) developed by K.-F. Braun²¹ (the Schrödinger equation is solved by treating scattering centres at the box boundaries as zero-range potentials).

Data Availability

All relevant data related to this manuscript are available from the authors.

References

(1) Yu, K. Y. *et al.* Removal of stacking-fault tetrahedra by twin boundaries in nanotwinned metals. *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 1377 (2013).

(2) Wang, J. W. *et al.* Atomic-scale dynamic process of deformation-induced stacking fault tetrahedra in gold nanocrystals. *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 2340 (2013).

(3) Uchihashi, T., Kobayashi, K. & Nakayama, T. Strong electron confinement by stacking-faultinduced fractional steps on Ag(111) surfaces. *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 113413 (2010).

(4) Schouteden, K. & Van Haesendonck, C. Lateral quantization of two-dimensional electron states by embedded Ag nanocrystals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 076806 (2012).

(5) Kiritani, M. Similarity and difference between fcc, bcc and hcp metals from the view point of point defect cluster formation. *J. Nucl. Mater.* **276**, 41-49 (2000).

(6) Smallman, R. E. & Westmacott, K. H. The nature and behaviour of vacancy clusters in close-packed metals. *Mater. Sci. Eng.* **9**, 249-272 (1972).

(7) Eyre, B. L. Transmission electron microscope studies of point defect clusters in fcc and bcc metals. *J. Phys. F: Metal Phys.* **3**, 423-470 (1973).

(8) Wolf, J. F. & Ibach, H. Dislocations on Ag(111). *Appl. Phys. A* 52, 218-221 (1991).

(9) Barth, J. V., Brune, H., Ertl, G. & Behm, R. J. Scanning tunneling microscopy observations on the reconstructed Au(111) surface: Atomic structure, long-range superstructure, rotational domains, and surface defects. *Phys. Rev. B* **42**, 9307 (1990).

(10) Wöll, C., Chiang, S., Wilson, R. J. & Lippel, P. H. Determination of atom positions at stacking-fault dislocations on Au(111) by scanning tunneling microscopy. *Phys. Rev. B* **39**, 7988 (1989).

(11) Chambliss, D. D., Wilson, R. J. & Chang, S. Nucleation of ordered Ni island arrays on Au(111) by surface-lattice dislocations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66**, 1721 (1991).

(12) Narasimhan, S. & Vanderbilt, D. Elastic stress domains and the herringbone reconstruction on Au(111). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 1564 (1992).

(13) Schouteden, K., Lievens, P. & Van Haesendonck, C. Fourier-transform scanning tunneling microscopy investigation of the energy *versus* wave vector dispersion of electrons at the Au(111) surface. *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 195409 (2009).

(14) Kadoyoshi, T. *et al.* Molecular dynamics study on the formation of stacking fault tetrahedra and unfaulting of Frank loops in fcc metals. *Acta Mater.* **55**, 3073–3080 (2007).

(15) Lee, B.-J., Shim, J.-H., & Baskes, M. I. Semiempirical atomic potentials for the fcc metals Cu, Ag, Au, Ni, Pd, Pt, Al, and Pb based on first and second nearest-neighbor modified embedded atom method. *Phys. Rev. B* **68**, 144112 (2003).

(16) Chulkov, E. V., Machado, M. & Silkin, V. M. Inverse lifetime of the surface and image states on Au(111). *Vacuum* **61**, 95 (2001).

(17) Binnig, G. *et al.* Tunneling spectroscopy and inverse photoemission: Image and field states. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55**, 991 (1985).

(18) Lin, C. L. *et al.* Manifestation of work function difference in high order Gundlach oscillation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 216103 (2007).

(19) Borisov, A. G. *et al.* Image potential states of supported metallic nanoislands. *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 121402(R) (2007).

(20) Li, W. & Li, D. Y. Effects of dislocation on electron work function of metal surface. *Mater. Sci. Technol.* **18**, 1057 (2002).

(21) Braun, K.-F. & Rieder, K.-H. Engineering electronic lifetimes in artificial atomic structures. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 096801 (2002).

(22) Braun, K.-F. Calculation of standing wave pattern and image processing. Available online at <u>http://www.kai-felix-braun.de/program.htm</u> (2006).

(23) Schmid, M., Hebenstreit, W., Varga, P. & Crampin, S. Quantum wells and electron interference phenomena in Al due to subsurface noble gas bubbles. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 2298 (1996).

(24) Kurnosikov, O., Adam, O. A. O., Swagten, H. J. M., de Jonge, W. J. M. & Koopmans, B. Probing quantum wells induced above a subsurface nanocavity in copper. *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 125429 (2008).

(25) Schouteden, K. *et al.* A study of the electronic properties of Au nanowires and Au nanoislands on Au(111) surfaces. *Nanotechnology* **20**, 395401 (2009).

(26) Avouris, P. & Lyo, I. W. Observation of quantum-size effects at room temperature on metal surfaces with STM. *Science* **264**, 942 (1994).

(27) Li, Z. *et al.* Size-dependent penetration of gold nanoclusters through a defect-free, nonporous NaCl membrane. *Nano Lett.* **16**, 3063–3070 (2016).

(28) Wang, Z. W. & Palmer, R. E. Direct atomic imaging and dynamical fluctuations of the tetrahedral Au_{20} cluster. *Nanoscale* **4**, 4947 (2012).

(29) Vandamme, N., Janssens, E., Vanhoutte, F., Lievens, P. & Van Haesendonck, C. Scanning probe microscopy investigation of gold clusters deposited on atomically flat substrates. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **15**, S2983 (2003).

(30) Horcas, I. *et al.* WSxM: A software for scanning probe microscopy and a tool for nanotechnology. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **78**, 013705 (2007).

(31) Yabuuchi, K., Kasada, R. & Kimura, A.. Effect of Mn addition on one-dimensional migration of dislocation loops in body-centered cubic Fe. *Acta Materialia* **61**, 6517-6523 (2013).

(32) Hÿtch, M. J., Snoeck, E. & Kilaas, R. Quantitative measurement of displacement and strain fields from HREM micrographs. *Ultramicroscopy* **74**, 131-146 (1998).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research in Leuven has been supported by the Research Foundation – Flanders (FWO, Belgium), and by the Flemish Concerted Research Action program (BOF KU Leuven, Project No. GOA/14/007). Z.L. acknowledges the support from the China Scholarship Council (No. 2011624021) and from Internal Funds KU Leuven. K.S. acknowledges additional support from the FWO. The research in Moscow has been supported by grants of the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (RFBR).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

K.S., D.M, and Z.L. prepared the samples and performed the tunnelling microscopy measurements. B.A.-A. and D.S. performed the electron microscopy measurements. K.S. performed the simulation and wrote the first version of the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and participated in writing the manuscript.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at

http://www.nature.com/naturecommunications.

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Materials & Correspondence. Corresponding author: koen.schouteden@fys.kuleuven.be.

Graphical Abstract



Description. Au (truncated) stacking fault tetrahdra (SFTs) are retrieved at the surface of Au(111) films using scanning tunnelling microscopy. Topography image size is $8 \times 8 \text{ nm}^2$. The embedded SFTs exhibit a remarkable electronic decoupling from their metallic surroundings, which results in pronounced quantization effects within the SFTs up to the vacuum level.