

## This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Gallium oxide nanorods : novel, template-free synthesis and high catalytic activity in epoxidation reactions

## **Reference:**

Lueangchaichaweng Warunee, Brooks Neil R., Fiorilli Sonia, Javon Elsa, Bals Sara, Van Tendeloo Gustaaf, et al..- Gallium oxide nanorods : novel, template-free synthesis and high catalytic activity in epoxidation reactions Angewandte Chemie: international edition in English - ISSN 0570-0833 - 53:6(2014), p. 1585-1589 Full text (Publishers DOI): http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1002/anie.201308384 To cite this reference: http://hdl.handle.net/10067/1157260151162165141

uantwerpen.be

Institutional repository IRUA

## Gallium Oxide Nanorods: Novel, Template-free Synthesis and High Catalytic Activity in Epoxidation Reactions

Warunee Lueangchaichaweng, Neil R. Brooks, Elena Gobechiya, Kaifeng Lin, Li Li, Sonia Parres-Esclapez, Elsa Javon, Sara Bals, Gustaaf Van Tendeloo, Johan A. Martens, Christine E. A. Kirschhock, Pierre A. Jacobs, and Paolo P. Pescarmona\*

One-dimensional nanomaterials such as nanorods and nanowires are drawing growing attention for the specific physical properties that they display compared to their bulk counterparts.<sup>1</sup> For a surface-related application such as heterogeneous catalysis, a key advantage of nanomaterials is provided by the increased surface-to-volume ratio that accompanies the decrease in the size of the catalyst particles. Additionally, the surface of one-dimensional nanomaterials is inherently rich in coordinatively unsaturated sites that can play an active role in catalytic reactions. Solution-phase techniques have been shown to be a very advantageous and viable approach for the preparation of metal oxide nanomaterials.<sup>2</sup> However, these methods typically require the use of templates or other additives to direct the growth of the material towards a specific morphology.

Herein, we present a novel and straightforward method for the fabrication of gallium oxide nanorods with unprecedented small dimensions (length  $\leq 80$  nm). This nanomaterial displays excellent properties as heterogeneous catalyst for the epoxidation of alkenes using the environmentally friendly hydrogen peroxide as oxidant.

Gallium oxide nanorods ( $Ga_2O_3$ -NR) were prepared using a precipitation method involving solvolysis of  $GaCl_3$  with 2-butanol, followed by hydrolysis and condensation of the formed species (Fig. 1.A). The method is reliable and accessible, does not require any expensive template or additive, is carried out at very mild temperature and no energy-consuming calcination step is needed prior to catalytic application (see the SI for the detailed synthesis procedure). The material presents rod-like morphology with a length varying from 20 to 80 nm and a width of 3-5 nm (Fig. 1.B-C). The X-ray diffractogram of the nanorods displays two broad Bragg reflections (Fig. 1.F), which are slightly shifted compared to those

- [\*] W. Lueangchaichaweng, Dr. E. Gobechiya, L. Li, Dr. S. P. Esclapez, Prof. J. A. Martens, Prof. C. E. A. Kirschhock, Prof. P. A. Jacobs, Prof. P. P. Pescarmona, Centre for Surface Chemistry and Catalysis, University of Leuven, Kasteelpark Arenberg 23, Heverlee, 3001, (Belgium). E-mail: paolo.pescarmona@biw.kuleuven.be
- Dr. N. R. Brooks, Department of Chemistry, University of Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200F, Heverlee, 3001 (Belgium).
- Prof. K. Lin, Natural Science Research Centre, Academy of Fundamental and Interdisciplinary Science, Harbin Institute of Technology, Mailbox-3026, 150080 Harbin, (China).
- Dr. E. Javon, Prof. S. Bals, Prof. G. Van Tendeloo, EMAT, University of Antwerp, Groenenborgerlaan 171, 2020 Antwerp (Belgium)
- [\*\*] This work is supported by START1, Methusalem, Prodex, IAP-PAI and ERC (24691 – COUNTATOMS and 335078 - COLOURATOM) projects. The authors acknowledge Dr. K. Houthoofd, G. Vanbutsele, Dr. C. Klaysom, Prof. J.W. Seo, Dr. T. Korányi and Prof. K. Binnemans for their support in the characterizations, and Dr. C. Özdilek for useful scientific discussion.

Supporting information (SI) for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.angewandte.org or from the author.

of a  $\gamma$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sample prepared as a reference ( $\gamma$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-lit).<sup>3</sup> Although the broadened diffraction peaks of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR suggest a low crystallinity, a local well-defined structural order was evidenced by HR-TEM and SAED (Fig. 1.D-E): the observed planes correspond to the XRD reflections and match well the d-spacings and the orientation of the (100) and (105) planes of the seldom reported  $\epsilon$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> polymorph.<sup>4</sup>

The nanorod morphology of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR results in the highest specific surface area (192 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>) ever reported for a gallium oxide.<sup>3,5</sup> The adsorption isotherm of type II with H<sub>3</sub> hysteresis loop (Fig. S1A) indicates the presence of slit-shaped interparticle pores originating from packing of the nanorods.

The generation of nanorods is ascribed to an anisotropic structural feature of the intermediate species, most likely gallium oxyhydroxides, which are formed in the synthesis mixture. Indeed, gallium oxyhydroxides and their better known aluminum counterparts are characterized by orthorombic unit cells, in which one cell parameter is much larger than the other two.<sup>6</sup> The conversion of gallium oxyhydroxide into oxide is expected to proceed through condensation steps followed by partial migration of Ga atoms from octahedral to tetrahedral sites.<sup>7</sup> Accordingly, solid-state <sup>71</sup>Ga NMR of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR shows the presence of Ga atoms in both tetrahedral and octahedral coordination (Fig. 1.G and Table 2), as encountered in  $\epsilon$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and in other gallium oxide polymorphs,<sup>4.5a</sup> but in contrast to oxyhydroxide structures in which all metal atoms are octahedrally coordinated.<sup>8</sup>

Ga2O3-NR was tested as heterogeneous catalyst for the epoxidation of alkenes with aqueous hydrogen peroxide (Table 1). This is the preferential path to produce epoxides, which are a family of versatile compounds used in numerous industrial syntheses of fine chemicals.<sup>9</sup> Ethyl acetate was employed as environmentally acceptable and inexpensive solvent. The catalytic performance of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR was compared both to  $\gamma$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-lit and to titanium silicalite 1 (TS-1), which was chosen as benchmark because this zeolite is considered the optimum heterogeneous catalyst for the epoxidation of small and linear alkenes using H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as the oxidant.<sup>10</sup> (See Fig. S2 for TS-1 characterization). Remarkably, the epoxide yield achieved with Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR in the conversion of a linear alkene such as 1-octene is comparable to that of TS-1, while the catalytic performance is much superior with bulky cyclic alkenes such as cyclohexene and cyclooctene, which are too large to diffuse through the micropores of TS-1 (pore size of 0.56 nm). The almost complete epoxide selectivity achieved with Ga2O3-NR for these alkenes is also noteworthy, particularly in the case of cyclohexene, which is rather prone to the formation of the diol and other side-products.<sup>11</sup> In the epoxidation of styrene, Ga2O3-NR gave higher conversion and higher epoxide selectivity compared to TS-1. The lower epoxide selectivity observed with styrene is due to the formation of benzaldehyde, which originates from the oxidative cleavage of the



*Figure1.* (A) Scheme of the formation of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR (BuOH is 2-butanol). (B-C) TEM images of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR with 40-80 nm length (B) and with 20-50 nm length (C). The size of the nanorods can be tuned by adjustments in the protocol of addition of H<sub>2</sub>O (see SI), without affecting the catalytic activity of the material. (D) HR-TEM of a selected nanorod. (E) Selected Area Electron Diffraction pattern of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR. (F) XRD pattern of:  $\gamma$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-lit (a) and Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR (b). The vertical lines correspond to reflections of the (100) and (105) planes of  $\varepsilon$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>- (G) <sup>71</sup>Ga MAS NMR spectra of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR (grey) and  $\gamma$ - Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-lit (violet), showing Ga in tetrahedral (ca. 150 ppm) and octahedral (ca. 0 ppm) coordination.

vinyl group.<sup>12</sup> The crucial effect of the synthesis method on the catalytic performance of gallium oxides is demonstrated by the strikingly superior activity of  $Ga_2O_3$ -NR compared to  $\gamma$ - $Ga_2O_3$ -lit (Table 1), in spite of having the same chemical composition.

Table 1. Catalytic epoxidation of selected alkenes using 50 wt.% aqueous  $H_2O_2$ 

Alkene	Catalyst	Y <sub>epoxide</sub>	S <sub>epoxide</sub>	TON <sup>a</sup> Productivity	
		(%)	(%)		
Cyclooctene	Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -NR	84	>99	266	5.3
	γ-Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -lit	7		56	0.4
	TS-1	0	>99	-	0
	Blank	1	-	-	-
			>99		
1-Octene	Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -NR	17	98	55	1.1
	TS-1	21	>99	70	1.3
Cyclohexene	Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -NR	45	>99	142	2.2
	TS-1	0	0	-	0
Styrene	Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -NR	20	58	109	1.2
	TS-1	6	23	87	0.4

**Conditions**: 2 mmol alkene, 4 mmol  $H_2O_2$ , 40 mg of catalyst, 2.0 g of ethyl acetate as solvent (acetonitrile for the reactions with TS-1, see SI), 4 h at 80 °C. <sup>a</sup>TON (mol<sub>alkene converted</sub>/mol<sub>active sites</sub>) was calculated using the number of acid sites for Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR and  $\gamma$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-lit (Table 2) and the number of Ti atoms for TS-1. Productivity is defined as g<sub>epoxide</sub>/g<sub>catalyst</sub>.

The origin of the excellent catalytic properties of  $Ga_2O_3$ -NR was investigated through a combination of physicochemical characterization techniques. Raman spectroscopy was employed to elucidate the nature of the catalytic site and the mechanism of activation of hydrogen peroxide on  $Ga_2O_3$ -NR. The Raman spectrum of aqueous  $H_2O_2$  shows a strong peak at 877 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 2.A(b)), assigned to the stretching of the O-O bond.<sup>13</sup> A clear shoulder appears next to the peak at 877 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the spectrum of  $Ga_2O_3$ -NR impregnated with aqueous  $H_2O_2$  (Fig. 2.A(c)), providing for the first time a direct experimental evidence of the interaction of hydrogen peroxide with a transition-metal-free oxide. On the basis of the position of the shoulder at higher wavenumber compared to  $H_2O_2$  alone, and in analogy to related studies on titanium silicates, <sup>13,14</sup> this signal is assigned to a hydroperoxide complex [Ga(n<sup>1</sup>-OOH)] formed

by reaction of a surface Ga site with  $H_2O_2$ . This assignment is supported by the higher epoxide yield obtained over  $Ga_2O_3$ -NR with internal alkenes compared to terminal linear alkenes. This evidences a pathway in which  $H_2O_2$  is activated to form a metal-hydroperoxide intermediate, followed by heterolytic cleavage of the O-O bond and transfer of an oxygen atom to the alkene (the double bond in internal alkenes is more electron-rich and thus more prone to undergo electrophilic attack by one of the O atoms).<sup>15</sup> Moreover, a homolytic radical pathway can be ruled out by the negligible formation of products of allylic oxidation in the reaction of cyclohexene (Table 1).<sup>15</sup>

The higher specific surface area of the nanorods compared to  $\gamma$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-lit (Table 2) allows a better contact with the reagents, and its open structure prevents water entrapment that, on the other hand, can occur in the ink-bottle-shaped pores of  $\gamma$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-lit (Fig. S1B). These features result in the lower hydrophilicity of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR, as demonstrated by the lower number of H2O molecules adsorbed per nm<sup>2</sup> of surface (Table 2). Too high hydrophilicity is detrimental for the epoxidation as it would hinder the approach of the apolar alkene to the catalyst surface.<sup>16</sup> The nanorod morphology is also favorable for the dispersion of the catalyst particles in solution<sup>6b,17</sup> Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR remains in suspension in the epoxidation mixture much longer upon stopping the stirring (~15 min against 1 min) compared to γ-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>lit, which consists of large aggregates of particles without a specific morphology (Fig. S3). Although important, these surface and morphological properties cannot account alone for the remarkable superiority of the activity of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR compared to γ-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-lit.

The correlation between the catalytic activity and the number, type and strength of the surface acid sites on Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR and  $\gamma$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-lit was studied by TPD of adsorbed pyridine monitored by FTIR spectroscopy (Fig. 2.B and Table 2).<sup>5a,c,18</sup> Lewis acid sites in gallium oxides are generally attributed to coordinatively unsaturated Ga<sup>3+</sup> ions mainly located in tetrahedral sites on the surface, <sup>5c</sup> while surface Ga-OH are responsible for the mild Brønsted acidity of gallium oxides. These Brønsted acid sites can convert into Lewis acid sites as a consequence of surface dehydration upon thermal treatment at high temperature and tend to reconvert to Brønsted acid sites by rehydration at room temperature.<sup>19</sup> Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR displays a much higher number of acid sites per gram of catalyst compared to  $\gamma$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-lit (Table 2). This significant difference is a consequence of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR, and its higher surface density of acid sites (Table 2).



*Figure 2.* (A) Raman spectra of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR (a); 50 wt.% aq. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (b); and Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR impregnated with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (c) measured at room temperature. In agreement with the observed signal in the Raman spectrum, Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR is able to activate H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> towards the epoxidation of cyclooctene also at 25 °C (though with lower yield, 5% after 24 h). (B) FTIR spectra of pyridine chemisorbed on Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR and on  $\gamma$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-lit (measured at 150°C). L and B indicate pyridine adsorbed on Lewis and Brønsted acid sites, respectively.

Table 2. Physicochemical properties of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR and y-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-lit.

Catalyst	Surface area (m²/g)	Ga <sub>tetra</sub> : Ga <sub>oct</sub> <sup>a</sup>	n <sub>H20</sub> /nm <sup>2 b</sup>	Acid sites (mmol/g) <sup>c</sup>	L:B ratio <sup>c</sup>	Density of acid sites (sites/nm <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>c</sup>
Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -NR	192	1 : 10	26	0.16	18:1	0.63
γ-Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -lit	98	1:5	36	0.06	only L	0.38

<sup>a</sup> Determined by <sup>71</sup>Ga MAS NMR. <sup>b</sup> Estimated by TGA. <sup>c</sup> Based on FTIR spectroscopy of adsorbed pyridine at 150°C. Prior to the IR measurement the samples were subjected to an evacuation at 400°C, implying that the measured ratios of Lewis to Brønsted acid sites are expected to be higher than in the materials used as catalysts.

Both these features stem from the nanorod morphology of the catalyst: the higher surface area is a direct consequence of the higher surface-to-volume ratio that is characteristic of nanosized materials, while the higher surface density of acid sites is attributed to the intrinsically defective nature of the nanorods and the consequent higher density of coordinatively unsaturated sites on their surface compared to materials consisting of larger particles. Moreover, the Lewis acid sites in Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR are stronger than those in  $\gamma$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-lit, as proven by the shift to higher wavenumbers of the peaks due to pyridine adsorbed on this type of acid sites (Fig. 2.B).<sup>5c,18</sup> This is in

line with the higher chemical shift of the <sup>71</sup>Ga NMR signal of tetrahedral Ga sites in Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR compared to  $\gamma$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-lit (Fig. 1.G). Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR displays also a small amount of Brønsted acid sites that are strong enough to protonate pyridine, while this type of acid sites is absent in  $\gamma$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-lit (Fig. 2.B).<sup>5c</sup> However, these sites are weaker compared to the Lewis acid sites in Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR, as shown by the easier disappearance of their IR signal with increasing temperature (Fig. S4). The lack of strong Brønsted acid sites, which would promote further reaction of the formed epoxides, is in agreement with the high epoxide selectivity over Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR (Table 1).



Scheme 1. Proposed catalytic cycle for the epoxidation of alkenes with aqueous  $H_2O_2$  over  $Ga_2O_3$ -NR.

On the basis of these characterization data, a catalytic mechanism for the epoxidation of alkenes over Ga2O3-NR can be proposed (Scheme 1). Both coordinatively unsaturated Ga atoms acting as Lewis acid sites and mainly tetrahedral Ga-OH groups acting as mild Brønsted acid sites located at the surface of the nanorods can be considered as catalytic sites for the epoxidation reaction. These two types of sites are interconvertible by hydration/dehydration. Therefore, Lewis acid sites that are present in the as-synthesized catalyst are expected to convert in contact with either H<sub>2</sub>O or H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> during the epoxidation reaction ( $0 \rightarrow 1$  and  $0 \rightarrow 2$ ). These Ga sites are able to activate hydrogen peroxide (2), as indicated by Raman spectroscopy. The observed stronger acidity of the Ga centers in Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR compared to those in y-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-lit enhances the polarization of the O-O bond of the hydroperoxide, thus favoring the electrophilic attack on the double bond of the alkene (3),<sup>20</sup> which leads to the transfer of an oxygen atom with formation of the desired epoxide product.

This description of the catalytic mechanism and the estimation of the number of acid sites by TPD-FTIR allow calculating the turnover number (TON) for the epoxidation reactions catalyzed by  $Ga_2O_3$ -NR (Table 1). High TONs were reached in the epoxidation of all tested alkenes, with a maximum value for cyclooctene (266). Moreover, the productivity of  $Ga_2O_3$ -NR (Table 1) is more than double compared to previously reported transition-metal-free oxide catalysts.<sup>16b</sup> These data confirm the outstanding catalytic properties of  $Ga_2O_3$ -NR, which is competitive against TS-1 with a terminal linear alkene as 1-octene but is much more versatile as its nanorod open structure allows the efficient epoxidation of larger substrates. The truly heterogeneous character of  $Ga_2O_3$ -NR was demonstrated by a hot-filtration test, which ruled out leaching of catalytically active species during the epoxidation. No acetic acid was detected by GC at the end of the catalytic tests, thus excluding hydrolysis of

active species during the epoxidation. No acetic acid was detected by GC at the end of the catalytic tests, thus excluding hydrolysis of ethyl acetate, which could have contributed to the epoxidation activity as acetic acid can act as homogeneous catalyst through the formation of a peracid upon reaction with  $H_2O_2$ .<sup>21</sup> Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR retained most of its activity upon recycling in five consecutive catalytic runs (Fig. S5). This represents a remarkable improvement compared to other transition-metal-free epoxidation catalysts, which suffer from relatively rapid deactivation.<sup>16,22</sup> Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR was more efficient than TS-1 in the use of hydrogen peroxide in the epoxidation of 1-octene (60% decomposition against 81% for TS-1). In the epoxidation of cyclooctene with Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR, the degree of decomposition of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was lower (34%), corresponding to an enhanced selectivity of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> towards the formation of the epoxide (55%), compared to previously reported transition-metal-free oxide catalysts.<sup>16,22</sup>

In summary, we introduced a template-free, straightforward method for the synthesis of gallium oxide nanorods under mild conditions. The prepared nanorods belong to the seldom observed ε-polymorph, have very high specific surface area and uniquely small dimensions compared to previously reported one-dimensional gallium oxides.<sup>1</sup> Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR displays excellent catalytic performance in the sustainable epoxidation of alkenes with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, achieving high efficiency in terms of activity, selectivity, oxidant consumption, substrate versatility and recyclability. The activity of the nanorods is markedly superior to any previously reported transition-metal-free epoxidation catalyst and is comparable to that of the industrial benchmark TS-1 with linear alkenes and much superior with bulkier alkenes. The attractive features of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-NR are very promising for a broad range of other relevant applications of gallium oxide that could benefit from a nanorod morphology, both within catalysis and as functional material with semiconducting, optoelectronic or sensing properties.<sup>23</sup>

[1] a) G.R. Patzke, F. Krumeich, R. Nesper, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2002**, 41, 2446-2461; b) Y. Jun, J. Choi, J. Cheon, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, 45, 3414-3439.

[2] G.R. Patzke, Y. Zhou, R. Kontic, F. Conrad, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2011**, 50, 826-859.

[3] C.O. Areán, A. L. Bellan, M.P. Mentruit, M.R. Delgado, G.T. Palomino, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2000**, *40*, 35-42.

[4] H.Y. Playford, A.C. Hannon, E.R. Barney, R.I. Walton, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 2803-2813.

[5] a) J. Lavalley, M. Daturi, V. Montouillout, G. Clet, C.O. Areán, M.R. Delgado, A. Sahibed-Dine, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2003, *5*, 1301-1305;

b) C. Aprile, E. Gobechiya, J.A. Martens, P.P. Pescarmona, *Chem. Commun.* 2010, 46, 7712-7714; c) A. Vimont, J. Lavalley, A. Sahibed-Dine, C.O. Areán, M. R. Delgado, M. Daturi, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2005, 109, 9656-9664.

[6] a) For example, the cell parameters of boehmite,  $\gamma$ -AlO(OH), are a = 3.700 Å, b = 12.227 Å, c = 2.868 Å, [00-021-1307]; b) P.A. Buining, C. Pathmamanoharan, J.B.H. Jansen, H.N.W. Lekkerkerker, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **1991**, *74*, 1303-1307.

[7] X. Krokidis, P. Raybaud, A.E. Gobichon, B. Rebours, P. Euzen, H. Toulhoat, J. Phys. Chem. B 2001, 105, 5121.

[8] D. Tunega, H. Pašalić, M. Gerzabek, H. Lischka, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 2011, 23, 404201.

[9] a) S.T. Oyama, Mechanisms in homogeneous and heterogeneous epoxidation catalysis; Elsevier Science, **2008**; b) B. S. Lane, K. Burgess, *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 2457-2473

[10] a) F. Cavani, J.H. Teles, *ChemSusChem* **2009**, *2*, 508-534 b) W. Fan, R.G. Duan, T. Yokoi, P. Wu, Y. Kubota, T. Tatsumi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc* **2008**, *130*, 10150-10164.

[11] K. Lin, P.P. Pescarmona, K. Houthoofd, D. Liang, G. Van Tendeloo, P.A. Jacobs, J. Catal. 2009, 263, 75-82.

[12] V. Hulea, E. Dumitriu, Appl. Catal. A: Gen 2004, 277, 99-106.

[13] G. Tozzola, M. Mantegazza, G. Ranghino, G. Petrini, S. Bordiga, G. Ricchiardi, C. Lamberti, R. Zulian, A. Zecchina, *J. Catal* **1998**, *179*, 64-71.

[14] J. To, A.A. Sokol, S. A. French, C.R.A. Catlow, J. Phys. Chem. C 2008, 112, 7173-7185.

[15] I. Arends, R. Sheldon, Top. Catal. 2002, 19, 133-141.

[16] a) R. Rinaldi, U. Schuchardt, J. Catal. 2004, 227, 109-116; b) G. Stoica,
M. Santiago, P.A. Jacobs, J. Pérez-Ramírez, P.P. Pescarmona, Appl. Catal.
A- Gen 2009, 371, 43-53.

[17] C. Özdilek, K. Kazimierczak, S.J. Picken, *Polym.* 2005, 46, 6025-6034.
[18] G. Busca, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 1999, 1, 723-736.

[19] P. Atkins, T. Overton, J. Rourke, M. Weller, F. Armstrong, *Inorganic chemistry*, 4<sup>th</sup> ed.; Oxford University, 2006 ; pp 695.

[20] K. Neimann, R. Neumann, Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2861-2863.

[21] H. Yao, D.E. Richardson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 3220-3221.

[22] a) D. Mandelli, M.C.A. van Vliet, R.A. Sheldon, U. Schuchardt, *J. Appl. Catal. A-Gen* **2001**, *219*, 209 ; b) P.P. Pescarmona, K.P.F. Janssen, P.A. Jacobs, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2007**, *13*, 6562.

 [23] a) V.R. Choudhary, S. K. Jana, B. P. Kiran, J. Catal. 2000, 192, 257; b)
K. Nakagawa, M. Okamura, N. Ikenaga, T. Suzuki, T. Kobayashi, Chem. Commun. 1998, 9, 1025; c) X. Wang, Q. Xu, M. Li, S. Shen, X. Wang, Y.
Wang, Z. Feng, J. Shi, H. Han, C. Li, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 13089-13092.