

## DFT study of the magnetic ground-state of $\text{UO}_2$

The goal of this study is to describe accurately structural, electronic and magnetic ground-state properties of  $\text{UO}_2$ , using ab initio electronic structure calculations. Experimental assessment of the magnetic ground-state of  $\text{UO}_2$  at low temperature is challenging because of complications posed by radioactive decay, the tendency to oxidize and form non-stoichiometric  $\text{UO}_{2+x}$ , and the toxicity of the material. Computational methods do not suffer from these difficulties, and can provide complementary critical information on the material. What is known from experiments is that  $\text{UO}_2$  is an antiferromagnet with a fluorite-type ( $\text{Fm}\bar{3}\text{m}$ ) structure. Below the Néel temperature, however, small oxygen displacements arise, lowering the symmetry. Previous DFT studies had found the magnetic ground state of  $\text{UO}_2$  to be (collinear) transverse 1k anti-ferromagnetic, which is not in line with the  $\text{Pa}\bar{3}$  crystal symmetry suggested by some experiments.<sup>1,2</sup>

This work focuses on ground-state properties of  $\text{UO}_2$  and on benchmarking the DFT calculations. We discuss the functionals and parameters needed to describe  $\text{UO}_2$  accurately and research the magnetic ground-state of the material. We've established that using PBE+U and HSE06 (using the effective U value derived from experiment,<sup>3</sup> and standard  $\alpha = 0.25$ ) functionals to describe the localised f-states is not enough to create the correct (Mott-) insulating behaviour of the material when it has a non-collinear anti-ferromagnetic ordering. The inclusion of spin-orbit coupling is necessary to shift the f-levels and open the band gap. We also determine the screening parameter  $\alpha$  in HSE06 that better describes the effective screening in  $\text{UO}_2$  and its electronic properties.

### References

- [1] Desgranges L et al 2017 Inorg. Chem. 56 321–326.
- [2] Faber J and Lander GH 1975 Phys. Rev. Lett. 35 1770.
- [3] Kotani A and Yamazaki T 1992 Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 108 117.